

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/505,303	04/04/2005	Bogdan Rosinski	003D.0027.U1(US) 6018	
29683 LIADRINGTO	7590 01/30/2007 N & SMITH, PC	EXAMINER,		
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE		PAK, SUNG H	
SHELTON, C	Г 06484-6212		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2874	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
		303	ROSINSKI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examin	ər	Art Unit		
	Sung H.		2874		
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on ti	าอ cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximumation of the provision of the provided period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(E MAILING DATE OF T ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ommunication. m statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the apply and the after the mailing date of this effect the mailing date of this effect.	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 3)□ Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the present the condition of the cond	2b)☐ This action is ion for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-12 is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) 11 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-3, 5-10, 12 is/are objective. 8) Claim(s) are subject to re 	s/are withdrawn from c				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to be 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/2 Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) The oath or declaration is objected.	are: a) ☐ accepted or to bjection to the drawing(s) ding the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Sec ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' amendment filed 11/09/2006 has been entered. All pending claims have been carefully reconsidered in view of the amendment.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments for patentability of pending claims have been carefully reviewed by the examiner. However, applicants' claim amendment effectively changes the scope of the claims, and a new ground of rejection is provided in this office action. As such, applicants' arguments against the previous ground of rejection is deemed moot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mettler et al (US 6,567,583 B2).

Mettler reference discloses an optical assembly with all the limitations set forth in the above-mentioned claims, including: a multimode fiber ('133' Fig. 2); an optical connector coupled to the multimode fiber ('110' Fig. 2); wherein the optical connector comprises ports

Art Unit: 2874

(portions of '119' adjacent to '169' and '156' are considered "ports") to receive optical ferrules (i.e. jackets* '153' and '166' Fig. 2) and to correspond to single-mode to multimode conversions (abstract); wherein the optical connector comprises an input optical port and an output optical port, wherein the multimode optical fiber is coupled to the output optical port (Fig. 2), characterized in that the optical connector comprises a set of two lenses ('179', '183' Fig. 2); interposed between the two optical ports to enable an adaptation of transmission of the light rays in space and in energy density (abstract), the two lenses having respective diameters and radii of curvature that are different to form a fanning out of the beam of rays, from narrow to wide from the input optical port to the output optical port (diameters and radii of curvature are different because the focal points of the lenses f₁ and f₂ are different as shown in Fig. 2; column 3 lines 44-49).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is allowed.

Claims 2-3, 5-10, 12 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: an optical connector for connecting a single mode fiber to a multimode fiber, such that the transmitted light beam is shaped to optimize light coupling, is well known in the art. Numerous prior art devices utilize single or double lens arrangements, as discussed in prior office actions.

^{&#}x27; 'jackets' are interpreted as 'ferrules' because broad definition of ferrule is "a ring or cap... put around a slender

Art Unit: 2874

However, none of the prior art fairly teaches or suggests such beam coupling device having a flat, optically transmissive plate disposed between the lenses which would allow the focal points of the lenses to be located within the plate, or such that flat portions of the first and second lenses are adjacent to the flat, optically transmissive plate as claimed in the instant application.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sung H. Pak whose telephone number is (571) 272-2353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 9AM-5PM.

Art Unit: 2874

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571)272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sung H. Pak

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2874